

## REMARKS

The claims have been amended and new claims 17 and 18 are added to remove multiple dependencies.

The specification and claim 7 are amended to correct a clerical error in the expression for indicating the molar ratio of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  vapor to water vapor, which should be " $\text{TiCl}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ." In all instances of the erroneous appearance of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TiCl}_4$  (ratio of water to  $\text{TiCl}_4$ ), the specification clearly refers to the ratio of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  vapor to water vapor.

In particular, the last paragraph of page 13 reads:

The ratio of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  vapor to water vapor which is fed is preferably such that the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TiCl}_4$  molar ratio is in the range of from 0.05 to 4. If this molar ratio is less than 0.05, the proportion of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  is so small that the rate of film formation is decreased. If this molar ratio is greater than 4, the proportion of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  which is consumed for the formation of a powder product in the gas phase is increased, ...

This passage only makes sense if the molar ratio expression is " $\text{TiCl}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ." Otherwise, the molar ratio less than 0.05 would indicate higher  $\text{TiCl}_4$  but the passage refers to the proportion of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  being very low. Likewise, the disclosure regarding the molar ratio greater than 4 with high  $\text{TiCl}_4$  only makes sense if the molar ratio expression is " $\text{TiCl}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ."

The specification also describes the molar ratio as "ratio of  $\text{TiCl}_4$  vapor to water vapor" at page 13, line 23 and page 19, lines 6-7.

Therefore, it should be apparent that the expression of " $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TiCl}_4$ " is a clerical error. The amendments to recite " $\text{TiCl}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ " are supported by the description of molar ratio in the specification and do not constitute new matter.


Application No. Not Yet Assigned  
Paper Dated January 9, 2006  
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of N/A  
Attorney Docket No. 0149-053929

Customer No. 28289

Entry of all amendments and favorable consideration of claims 1-18 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By   
Julie W. Meder  
Registration No. 36,216  
Attorney for Applicants  
700 Koppers Building  
436 Seventh Avenue  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-1845  
Telephone: 412-471-8815  
Facsimile: 412-471-4094  
E-mail: [webblaw@webblaw.com](mailto:webblaw@webblaw.com)